QUANTITATIVE RISK ANALYSIS AND MODELING TECHNIQUES

JEREMY R. CURBEY

EMBRY-RIDDLE AERONAUTICAL UNIVERSITY

MASTERS OF SCIENCE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CAPSTONE

PMGT 690

JANUARY 2016

A Quantitative analysis done in QM for Excel

Part A

The first graph shows the Predecessor and Time data:

Activity	Time	Predecessor 1	Predecessor 2	Predecessor 3
А	4			
В	6			
С	7	А	В	
D	8	В		
Е	5	В		
F	5	С		
G	7	D		
Н	8	D	Е	
Ι	4	F	G	Н

Original Input Table

Original Resulting Table

Activity	Early Start	Early Finish	Late Start	Late Finish	Slack
А	0	4	6	10	6
В	0	6	0	6	0
С	6	13	10	17	4
D	6	14	6	14	0
Е	6	11	9	14	3
F	13	18	17	22	4
G	14	21	15	22	1
Н	14	22	14	22	0
Ι	22	26	22	26	0
	Project	26			

The image is the Gantt chart that was created and it shows the critical activity, noncritical activity, and slack time that the project can have for each of the activities shown.

		G	antt Chart			
A	_					
В						
c	_		_			
D						
E	_		_			
F						
G						
н						
1						
0	5	10	15	20	25	30
			Time			
	C	ritical Activity	/ 🗕 Noncriti	cal Activity	Slack	

This next image shows the crash times that were discovered to insure that the project would be completed on time. It will cost more money to finish the project on the time schedule.

Crash days	Crash cost/day	Crash limit
2	500	3
4	750	4
0	1500	4
4	3000	4

14-Week Crash Table

Original Gantt chart

1	666.666 6667	3
2	750	2
1	800	5
2	3000	4
2	500	2

Analysis

Crashing the project down to 14 weeks, it will result in a crashing cost of \$25,966.67 that must be added that must be added to the overall cost of the project. It is important to provide these kinds of information if and when the project owners want to reduce the project time or if the project gets behind schedule. Some suppliers do not like to supply these kinds of information to their customers. Providing critical assessment as this, companies fear that they will have to speed up their future project as well. Project crashing can add extra risk.

REFERENCES

- Admin, N. (2012, April 23). *Cause of Conflict in Project Management*. Retrieved May 18, 2015, from PDU4PMP.com: http://www.pdu4pm.com/pmpblog/causes-of-conflict-in-project-management
- Consulting, M. (2013, May 17). *Four Types of Risk Mitigation and BCM Governance, Risk and Compliance*. Retrieved May 15, 2015 from MHA Consulting: http://www.mha-it.com/2013/05/four-types-of-risk-mitigation/
- Dow, B. & Taylor, B. (2008). Project Management Communications Bible. Indianapolis, IN: Wiley Publishing.
- Ferraro, G., & Briody, E. (2013). The cultural dimension of global business (7th ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Larson, E. & Gray, C. (2014). *Project Management: The Managerial Process* (6th ed.). New York, NY. McGraw Hill Education.
- Lussier, R., & Achua, C. (2013). *Leadership: Theory, application & skill development* (5th ed.).Mason, OH: Southwestern Cengage Learning.
- Project Management Institute. (2004). A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK guide). Newtown Square, Pa: Project Management Institute.
- Thatte, D. (2014, December 10). *Theory of Constraints Help set Project Priorities*. Retrieved May 8,2015 from ISixsigma.com: http://www.isixsigma.com/methodology/theory-ofconstraints/theory-constraints-helps-set-project-priorities/

Williams, C. J. (2013, May 10). Project Management: Risk Management. Retrieved May 08, 2015,
from Project Smart: http://www.projectsmart.co.uk/project-management-risk-management.php